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Introduction

- Sworn Statements in Proof of Loss, Examinations Under Oath and Appraisal are valuable tools for an insurer investigating a claim.

- Historically, these tools have been underutilized.

- Proofs of Loss were used as mere formalities for claim payments.

- Examinations under oath ("EUOs") were typically used to resolve suspected fraud claims.

- Appraisal has been sparingly used to resolve disputes as to the amount of the claim.
Red Flags

- Insured makes unreasonable demands at the outset.
- Public adjuster or attorney is retained at the outset.
- Insured threatens legal action at the outset.
- Significant differences exist in scope and amount of loss between adjuster and insured.
- Inability to obtain an agreement on the scope of damages
Red Flags

- **PRE-EXISTING DAMAGES ARE CLAIMED AS PART OF THE LOSS**
- **LATE REPORTING JEOPARDIZES INVESTIGATION OF CLAIM**
- **EMERGENCY REPAIRS/SERVICES ARE UNDER WAY PRIOR TO REPORTING OF THE CLAIM**
- **CONTRACTORS/EXPERTS RETAINED BY INSURED HAVE HISTORY OF BEING DIFFICULT TO DEAL WITH**
- **LACK OF EXPERTS, DOCUMENTATION, ETC., TO SUPPORT DAMAGES CLAIMED**
Red Flags

- Lack of experts, documentation, etc., to support damages claimed.
- Significant code upgrades claimed when little or none appear to be required.
- Lengthy period of restoration not supported by adequate documentation.
**Sworn Statements in Proof of Loss - Background**

A provision requiring a Proof of Loss is in nearly all policy forms.

A formal statement of the insured’s claim.

Requires that, upon request, an insured supply detailed information enabling the insurer to investigate and assess the loss.

Appears in the “Duties After Loss” Section of the policy.
Quickly gets to the heart of an insured’s claim.

Enables the insurer to bind the insured and protect against fraud.

Enables the insurer to obtain information directly from insured.

Compels insureds to commit to a number as a “cap”.

Sworn Statements in Proof of Loss - Purpose
Sworn Statements in Proof of Loss - Purpose

- Great tool before conducting an EUO or beginning appraisal.
- Enables the insurer to extract information from an unwilling insured.
- Good for committing an insured who claims differing amounts and different time to a specific number.
- Fantastic for proving fraud the insurer is not aware of until after a claim is paid.
Most policies require the insured return the Proof of Loss within thirty or sixty days after the request.

Timely return of the Proof of Loss is a Condition Precedent to recovery.

In some jurisdictions, failure to comply is an absolute bar to recovery.

Courts are trending away toward a more liberal approach, requiring a showing of prejudice if the Proof is not returned.
SUIT AGAINST US
No one may bring a suit against us under this Coverage Part unless:

1. There has been full compliance with all of the terms of this Coverage part; and

2. The suit is brought within 2 years after the date on which the loss occurred.

- In Standard Flood Insurance Policy the failure to submit a Proof of Loss is an absolute bar to recovery.
Sworn Statements in Proof of Loss - How to Respond

- An insurer may either
  - Accept
  - Acknowledge
  - Reject
  - Return a Proof of Loss.

- When a Proof is defective, it should be rejected, the insured notified of the defects and another blank form provided.

- When a Proof is rejected, give reasons. Don’t suggest a claim denial. Make clear that the investigation is continuing.
• Most jurisdictions find that a fraudulent proof of loss bars recovery completely.

• Fraud must be both material and intentional in order to gain a larger payment.

• May also void all coverage under the policy.

• Some jurisdictions hold that recovery is prevented only regarding the part of the submission that is fraudulent.
Examinations
Under Oath
Origins and Purpose

• Addressed by United States Supreme Court 130 years ago in *Claflin v. Commonwealth Insurance Company*

• Explained that the EUO enables an insurer to obtain both claim information and documents in the insured’s possession:
  • For a fair and proper claim evaluation
  • To help an insurer determine its own policy obligations; and
  • To enable an insurer to protect itself against fraudulent claims.

• Many claims are resolved after an EUO.
Examinations Under Oath

Acceptance by Insureds

The EUO request is not usually met with open arms.

Insureds become defensive, confused and have to be educated as to the process.

EUO is considered a device to harass and delay.

Few judges and plaintiff’s lawyers are familiar with EUOs and view them as depositions or a mere technicality.
LOSS CONDITIONS

Duties in the Event of Loss

We may examine any insured under oath, while not in the presence of any other insured and at such times as may be reasonably required, about any matter relating to this insurance or the claim, including and insured’s books or records. In the event of an examination, an insured’s answers must be signed.
Examinations Under Oath
NOT A DEPOSITION!

• The obligation to attend an EUO is contractual and does not arise out of the rules of civil procedure.
• The insured’s counsel cannot object or instruct an insured not to answer.
  • The failure to answer all questions may form the basis for denial of a claim.
• EUOs are taken before litigation as part of an insurer’s investigation.
• Insured has a duty to volunteer information at an EUO.
• The insurer has the right to examine insureds out of the presence of each other.
Examinations Under Oath - Considerations

- Suspected fraud is the most common reason for EUOs.
- Also helpful when an insured do not respond to written or oral requests for information.
- EUOs are sometimes the most efficient way to determine the particulars of a claim.
- EUOs are a great way to get around a meddling public adjuster or attorney.
Examinations Under Oath

Subject Areas

- Contested Issues
- Ambiguities
- Information Gaps
- Losses missing supporting documentation
- Inconsistencies
- Fraud
- Claim exaggeration
- Insured’s perspective on the claim
- Motive for Claim
- Potential Policy defenses
- Insurable Interest
- Background of insured
- Financial Condition
- Location at time of loss
Examinations Under Oath - Demand

Must be in writing.

Sent via Certified Mail and standard U.S. Mail.

EUOs should be scheduled unilaterally, but let the insured know that it can be rescheduled at a convenient time and place.

If a time and place is not set, then the insured will not be found to have breached the condition.
Examinations Under Oath - Demand

The insurer is exercising its right under the policy to conduct the examination.

Quote the policy language requiring the insured’s compliance.

The date, time and location of the EUO.

Request for records.

Time and place for document production.
Examinations Under Oath - Demand

- Consequences for failing to comply
- Insured will be reimbursed for copying cost.
- Insurer cannot complete investigation under EUO and records are received.
- Sworn Statement in Proof of Loss Request.
- Insured may have an attorney present.
- Before a court reporter.
- Not in the presence of another insured.
**Examinations Under Oath - Conducting the EUO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prepare</th>
<th>Prepare, prepare prepare!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review</td>
<td>Review the potentially applicable policy provisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have</td>
<td>Have an outline ready.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Know</td>
<td>Know the photographs and documents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss</td>
<td>Discuss in advance with attorney/adjuster.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remind</td>
<td>Remind opposing counsel that they can attend, but they cannot interfere with examination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep</td>
<td>Keep list of items that need a written follow-up.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examinations Under Oath
Lack of Cooperation

EUOs often do not proceed as planned.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No shows</th>
<th>Lack of knowledge</th>
<th>Lack of documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The requirement to sit for an EUO is also a Condition Precedent to coverage.

Some jurisdictions indicate that failure to sit for EUO is grounds for dismissing suit.

Other jurisdictions require a finding of prejudice to the insurer.
In most first party property claims, the fundamental issue is the amount of loss.

Most property policies contain an appraisal clause, which provides an efficient and cost-effective means of resolving this core dispute.

Great for getting the lawyers out of the room and letting the professionals cut to the chase.
E. Appraisal

If you and we fail to agree on the amount of loss, either may demand an appraisal of the loss. In this event, each party will choose a competent and impartial appraiser within 20 days after receiving a written request from the other. The two appraisers will choose an umpire. If they cannot agree upon an umpire within 15 days, you or we may request that the choice be made by a judge of a court of record in the state where the "residence premises" is located. The appraisers will separately set the amount of loss. If the appraisers submit a written report of an agreement to us, the amount agreed upon will be the amount of loss. If they fail to agree, they will submit their differences to the umpire. A decision agreed to by any two will set the amount of loss.

Each party will:

1. Pay its own appraiser; and
2. Bear the other expenses of the appraisal and umpire equally.
Must be an actual dispute as to the amount of loss.

Demand for appraisal without proof of differing amount is not sufficient to establish dispute.

Make sure to formally deny appraisal demand and request proof of the dispute.
Parties opposing appraisal sometimes argue that the appraisal clause is an attempt at binding arbitration.

- They argue that the appraisal clause is not valid because it conflicts with the Federal Arbitration Act.

Appraisal is an informal process that does not involve the procedural requirements of a court proceeding.

- Arbitration is a quasi-judicial proceeding with formal hearings, witnesses, etc.
- There are significant differences between the appraisal process and arbitration.
Appraisal - Competent and Disinterested Appraisers

Louisiana requires registration for appraisers.

By statute in Louisiana the original public adjuster cannot be the appraiser.

In Louisiana, insured’s appraiser should also be a licensed public adjuster.

The original claims adjuster, however, can serve the insurer’s appraiser.

• The mere fact that someone has previously computed the losses as an adjuster does not disqualify them from service as an appraiser
• Simply must be “disinterested, unprejudiced, honest and competent”
In some states, such as Florida, the Appraisal process will take jurisdiction away from the courts until the process is complete.

In other states, such as Louisiana, suit can always be filed, but the lawsuit can be stayed until completion.

Awards will be enforced unless there is evidence of fraud, mistake, duress or other impeaching circumstances in either the appraisal process or its award.
Appraisal - Umpires

- Appraisers often make the mistake on trying to agree on the amount of loss and then choosing the umpire if no agreement is made.

- Should agree on the umpire first pursuant to most Appraisal provisions.

- Often difficult to agree with opposing appraiser on choice of umpire.
Appraisal - Umpires

- Technical knowledge versus impartiality?
- There is no requirement for either in most Appraisal clauses.
- Impartiality is paramount! Consider the use of mediators as umpires.
- Do not agree on public adjusters or contractors. They are usually biased.
Appraisal - Working with Umpires

Supposed to submit only differences to Umpire.

Submit all supporting information
- Photographs
- Expert Reports
- Prior loss information

Go out to the loss location with the Umpire.

Umpires love bones!